



# NQF Snapshot Q4 2024 February 2025

A quarterly report from the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority

# **Table of contents**



Overview	3
Snapshot highlights	4
Profile of the sector	5
Progress of assessment and rating	9
Service visits	10
Draft and final reports, and reviews	11
Quality improvement	12
Overall quality ratings	13
Quality area ratings	15
Standard level ratings	16
Element level results	17
Services rated Working Towards NQS	18
Services rated Exceeding NQS	18
Jurisdiction summaries	19

### **Overview**

NQF Snapshot Q4 2024 is ACECQA's 48<sup>th</sup> national report on children's education and care services operating under the National Quality Framework (NQF).

It provides analysis and information on the profile of the sector and the quality ratings of services against the National Quality Standard (NQS).

A revised, streamlined version of the NQS commenced on 1 February 2018, which also made it more challenging for a service to achieve an overall rating of Exceeding NQS (see Figure 4).

An **interactive online version of the Snapshot**, which includes additional analysis, is available on the ACECQA website.

The data presented in this Snapshot was taken from the National Quality Agenda IT System (NQA ITS) at 00.30 on 1 January 2025.

Due to rounding, individual percentages in the tables and figures may not add up to 100%.






# **Snapshot highlights**

17,842	children's education and care services approved to operate under the NQF
16,474 (92%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
14,980 (91%)	services with a quality rating are Meeting NQS or above
7,194	providers approved to operate
5,662 (79%)	providers approved to operate only one service
27	services rated <b>Excellent</b> by ACECQA <sup>1</sup>
23,094	quality rating reassessments completed
69%	of services rated Working Towards NQS improved their overall <b>quality rating at</b> reassessment
15,836 (89%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b> against the 2018 NQS

#### Figure 1: Number of approved services and number of services with a quality rating by quarter




Number of services with a quality rating

Number of approved services

1 The Excellent rating is awarded for a period of five years. Unless a reapplication for the Excellent rating is received, a service's rating will revert back to Exceeding NQS after this five year period. The Snapshot includes the current number of Excellent rated services.

### **Profile of the sector**

Figure 2: Number of approved services by jurisdiction and service type



1 A centre-based service is an education and care service other than a family day care service. This includes most long day care, preschool and outside school hours care services that are delivered at a centre. It does not include Tasmanian preschools/kindergartens and most preschools/kindergartens in Western Australia that are outside the scope of the NQF, as well as other services that aren't regulated under the National Law.

2 A family day care service is an education and care service delivered through a network of educators operating from residences and other approved venues. They are sometimes known as family day care schemes and they are administered and supported by central coordination units.






Figure 3: Proportion of services rated Meeting NQS or above by overall rating and quality area

#### Figure 4: Proportion of services rated Exceeding NQS or above by overall rating and quality area





#### Figure 5: Proportion of services by service type and jurisdiction 1,2,3,4,5

#### Table 1: Number of services by service type and jurisdiction

	Family day care	Long day care	Preschool/ Kindergarten	Outside school hours care	Other	Total
ACT	5	186	87	99	0	377
NSW	120	3,586	766	1,580	1	6,053
NT	4	97	80	53	1	235
QLD	97	1,907	487	811	0	3,302
SA	17	491	408	394	0	1,310
TAS	5	141	0	95	0	241
VIC	138	2,013	1,221	1,497	0	4,869
WA	30	902	14	508	1	1,455
TOTAL	416	9,323	3,063	5,037	3	17,842

1 NQA ITS data collected on service type is self-reported by providers when applying for service approval, and providers may choose multiple service types. For example, a service providing both long day care and outside school hours care, or one providing both long day care and preschool/kindergarten.

2 Providers are not required to notify changes to this information, and therefore this NQA ITS information may not be current.

3 Centre-based services offering more than one type of service are classified as follows: services which provide long day care in addition to any other service type are classified as long day care services; services which provide preschool/kindergarten as well as outside school hours care are classified as preschool/kindergarten services; services; services which provide outside school hours care are classified as preschool/kindergarten services; services which provide outside school hours care services.

4 Excludes Tasmanian preschools/kindergartens and most preschools/kindergartens in Western Australia that are outside the scope of the NQF, as well as other services that aren't regulated under the National Law.

5 Three services categorised as 'Other' excluded for graphical purposes.

#### Figure 6: Number and proportion of services by provider management <sup>1,2,3</sup>




Figure 7 shows that 79% of approved providers operate a single service while 1% of approved providers operate 25 or more services.

#### Figure 7: Proportion of approved providers by size





Figure 8 shows that 32% of approved services are operated by small approved providers while 36% of approved services are operated by large approved providers.

#### Figure 8: Proportion of approved services by provider size



1 NQA ITS data collected on provider management type is self-reported by providers when applying for provider approval. Providers are not required to notify changes to this information, and therefore this NQA ITS information may not be current.

Provider management type classifications are available at Australian Bureau of Statistics (2013)

National Early Childhood Education and Care Collection: Data Collection Guide, 2013 (Cat. No. 4240.0.55.002).

3 16 services categorised as 'Not stated/Other' excluded for graphical purposes.

### **Progress of assessment and rating**

Table 2 includes all approved services. The proportion of services with a quality rating will not reach 100% at any one time because a small proportion of services will only recently have been approved and may not have started operating or may have only been operating for a short period of time. In general, state and territory regulatory authorities will assess and rate newly approved services within 9-18 months of operations commencing.

	Number of services	Proportion of all services	Number of services with a quality rating	Proportion of services with a quality rating
ACT	377	2%	355	94%
NSW	6,053	34%	5,725	95%
NT	235	1%	215	91%
QLD	3,302	19%	3,098	94%
SA	1,310	7%	1,252	96%
TAS	241	1%	221	92%
VIC	4,869	27%	4,287	88%
WA	1,455	8%	1,321	91%
TOTAL	17,842	100%	16,474	92%

#### Table 2: Quality ratings by jurisdiction

#### Table 3: Number of services with a quality rating by service type and jurisdiction

	Family day care	Long day care	Preschool/ Kindergarten	Outside school hours care	Other	Total
ACT	5	171	87	92	0	355
NSW	113	3,413	754	1,444	1	5,725
NT	3	90	71	50	1	215
QLD	76	1,792	463	767	0	3,098
SA	13	456	406	377	0	1,252
TAS	5	134	0	82	0	221
VIC	111	1,785	1,147	1,244	0	4,287
WA	29	810	13	468	1	1,321
TOTAL	355	8,651	2,941	4,524	3	16,474

# **Service visits**

Figure 9 shows the total number of quality assessment and rating visits compared to all other visits undertaken by state and territory regulatory authorities in equivalent quarters each year. Other types of visits include checking and monitoring compliance with the requirements of the NQF, investigating complaints and responding to events such as serious incidents or changes of service ownership, as well as visits for educative purposes.

While the ratio of assessment and rating visits to all other visits has fluctuated over time, state and territory regulatory authorities have undertaken more than three times as many other types of visit than assessment and rating visits since 2017, emphasising the significant amount of regulatory work that occurs outside of quality assessment and rating.




Figure 9: Number of service visits (quality assessment and rating visits compared to all other visits)



# Draft and final reports, and reviews

Table 4 shows the proportion of overall quality ratings that change between the draft and final assessment and rating report.

As part of the comprehensive assessment and rating process, service providers are issued a draft report by state and territory regulatory authorities, which includes the proposed quality ratings. Service providers are given the opportunity to provide any required feedback or clarification prior to the final report and quality ratings being issued.


More than 95% of all overall quality ratings remain unchanged between the draft and final assessment and rating reports.

# Table 4: Proportion of overall quality ratings that change between the draft and final assessment and rating report

Rating level	Change in rating	%
Higher	801	4.9%
Unchanged	15,645	95.1%
Lower	1	0.0%
Total	16,447	100%

Figure 10 shows the proportion of quality assessment and ratings that result in a first or second tier review in equivalent quarters each year.

As part of the comprehensive assessment and rating process, service providers may request a (first tier) review by the relevant state and territory regulatory authority following the final report and quality ratings being issued. They may also subsequently request a (second tier) review by an expert panel convened by ACECQA.



#### Figure 10: Proportion of quality assessment and ratings that result in a first or second tier review

# **Quality improvement**

Table 5 presents a service's previous overall rating alongside its reassessed overall rating. For example, 4,657 services previously rated Working Towards NQS improved their rating to Meeting NQS after reassessment. While 2,444 services previously rated Working Towards NQS received the same rating again after reassessment.

#### Table 5: Reassessments by overall quality rating<sup>1</sup>

	Rating after reassessment						
		Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Total	
reassessment	Significant Improvement Required	21	87	17	0	125	
easses	Working Towards NQS	67	2,444	4,657	821	7,989	
before re	Meeting NQS	13	1,334	6,783	1,309	9,439	
Rating be	Exceeding NQS	2	463	2,409	2,667	5,541	
Rat	Total	103	4,328	13,866	4,797	23,094	

	Rating after reassessment							
		Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Improvement rate		
ssessment	Significant Improvement Required	17%	70%	14%	0%	83%		
reasse	Working Towards NQS	1%	31%	58%	10%	69%		
g before	Meeting NQS	0%	14%	72%	14%	14%		
Rating	Exceeding NQS	0%	8%	43%	48%	-		

1 Reassessments include the following processes described in the National Law: i. Partial reassessment and re-rating requested by provider; ii. Partial reassessment and re-rating instigated by the regulatory authority; iii. Full reassessment and re-rating requested by provider; iv. Full reassessment and re-rating instigated by the regulatory authority.

# **Overall quality ratings**

Authorised officers from state and territory regulatory authorities assess and rate services using the seven quality areas, 15 standards and 40 elements that make up the NQS. Services are given a rating for each of the seven quality areas and an overall rating.

1	Educational program and practice	Significant Improvement	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Excellent	
2	Children's health and safety	<b>Required</b> Service does not	Service provides	Service	Service goes	Exceeding rated services	
3	Physical environment	seven quality areas or a section	seven quality and care areas or a section program, but		and care education and requirements of the tion program, but care in all seven National Quality	that promote exceptional education and care, demonstrate sector	
4	Staffing arrangements	of the legislation and there is a significant	there are one or more areas identified for	quality areas.	Standard in at least four of the seven quality areas.	leadership, and are committed to continually improving.	
5	Relationships with children	risk to the safety, health and wellbeing of	improvement.			This rating can only be awarded by ACECQA.	
6	Collaborative partnerships with families and communities	children. The regulatory	RATED	RATED	RATED	Rated	
7	Governance and leadership	authority will take immediate action.		WORKING A		EXCEEDING A	by ACECQA

#### Table 6: Overall quality ratings by jurisdiction

	Significant Improvement Required	Worl Toward	-	Mee	ting QS		eding QS	Excellent	Total
ACT	1	50	14%	147	41%	157	44%	0	355
NSW	7	407	7%	4,175	73%	1,126	20%	10	5,725
ΝΤ	2	44	20%	154	72%	15	7%	0	215
QLD	0	340	11%	2,218	72%	532	17%	8	3,098
SA	0	205	16%	635	51%	412	33%	0	1,252
TAS	0	38	17%	137	62%	46	21%	0	221
VIC	2	186	4%	2,922	68%	1,168	27%	9	4,287
WA	0	212	16%	1,052	80%	57	4%	0	1,321
TOTAL	12	1,482	9%	11,440	69%	3,513	21%	27	16,474

#### Figure 11: Overall quality ratings by service type




Figure 12 shows the variation in spread of quality ratings between provider management types.

These differences can reflect a range of contextual factors, such as the service profile of these provider types. For example, half of all 'State/Territory and Local Government managed' services are preschools/kindergartens, with preschools/kindergartens performing better against the NQS than other service types (see Figure 11). In contrast, preschools/kindergartens make up only 1% of 'Private for profit' services.

#### Figure 12: Overall quality ratings by provider management type<sup>1</sup>

<ul> <li>Working Towards NQS</li> <li>Meetin</li> </ul>	g NQS	Exceeding NQS		
Private for profit	10%	78%	12%	
Private not for profit community managed	7%	56%	37%	
Private not for profit other organisations	<mark>6%</mark>	73%	21%	
State/Territory and Local Government managed	6%	51%	43%	
State/Territory government schools	14%	54%	31%	
Independent schools	12%	53%	35%	
Catholic schools	15%	68%	17%	
Total	9%	69%	21%	

1 15 providers categorised as 'Not stated/Other' excluded for graphical purposes.


# **Quality area ratings**

Table 7 and Figure 13 rank the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the number and proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

#### Table 7: Quality area ratings

	Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS
Quality Area 1 - Educational program and practice	1	1,021	11,912	3,540
Quality Area 7 - Governance and leadership	10	992	12,546	2,926
Quality Area 2 - Children's health and safety	10	874	13,189	2,401
Quality Area 3 - Physical environment	2	432	13,267	2,773
Quality Area 4 - Staffing arrangements	2	378	12,986	3,108
Quality Area 5 - Relationships with children	1	330	12,450	3,693
Quality Area 6 - Collaborative partnerships with families and communities	0	250	12,011	4,213

#### Figure 13: Quality area ratings



# **Standard level ratings**

Figure 14 ranks the 15 standards of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS for each standard.

#### Figure 14: Standard level ratings

Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	
Standard 1.3 (Assessment and planning)	5%	72%	23%
Standard 7.2 (Leadership)	5%	77%	18%
Standard 2.2 (Safety)	4%	81%	15%
Standard 7.1 (Governance)	4%	78%	18%
Standard 2.1 (Health)	<mark>4%</mark>	80%	17%
Standard 1.1 (Program)	<mark>3%</mark>	73%	24%
Standard 1.2 (Practice)	2%	76%	22%
Standard 4.1 (Staffing arrangements)	2%	79%	19%
Standard 3.2 (Use)	2%	79%	20%
Standard 5.1 (Relationships between educators and children)	2%	76%	22%
Standard 3.1 (Design)	2%	82%	17%
Standard 6.2 (Collaborative partnerships)	1%	72%	27%
Standard 5.2 (Relationships between children)	1%	77%	22%
Standard 4.2 (Professionalism)	1%	80%	19%
Standard 6.1 (Support relationships with families)	1%	74%	26%



## **Element level results**

Table 8 ranks the 40 elements of the NQS in descending order, based on the proportion of services that do not meet each element.

#### Table 8: Element level results


NQS Element	% Not Met	NQS
1.3.2 (Critical reflection)	4.6%	3.2.3
1.3.1 (Assessment and planning cycle)	4.6%	7.1.3
7.1.2 (Management systems)	3.7%	5.2.2
2.2.1 (Supervision)	3.4%	5.1.1
7.2.2 (Educational leadership)	3.3%	2.1.1
2.1.2 (Health practices and procedures)	3.2%	6.2.3
7.2.3 (Development of professionals)	2.7%	2.1.3
1.1.3 (Program learning opportunities)	2.4%	3.2.2
2.2.2 (Incident and emergency management)	2.0%	4.2.2
4.1.1 (Organisation of educators)	1.8%	2.2.3
1.1.1 (Approved learning framework)	1.8%	6.2.2
7.2.1 (Continuous improvement)	1.7%	3.2.1
1.2.3 (Child directed learning)	1.4%	3.1.1
5.1.2 (Dignity and rights of the child)	1.4%	4.2.1
1.3.3 (Information for families)	1.4%	6.1.3
1.1.2 (Child-centred)	1.4%	6.1.2
1.2.2 (Responsive teaching and scaffolding)	1.3%	6.2.1
3.1.2 (Upkeep)	1.3%	4.1.2
1.2.1 (Intentional teaching)	1.2%	6.1.1
7.1.1 (Service philosophy and purpose)	1.2%	5.2.1

NQS Element	% Not Met
3.2.3 (Environmentally responsible)	1.2%
7.1.3 (Roles and responsibilities)	1.2%
5.2.2 (Self-regulation)	1.1%
5.1.1 (Positive educator to child interactions)	1.0%
2.1.1 (Wellbeing and comfort)	1.0%
6.2.3 (Community engagement)	1.0%
2.1.3 (Healthy lifestyle)	0.9%
3.2.2 (Resources support play-based learning)	0.8%
4.2.2 (Professional standards)	0.8%
2.2.3 (Child protection)	0.8%
6.2.2 (Access and participation)	0.7%
3.2.1 (Inclusive environment)	0.7%
3.1.1 (Fit for purpose)	0.5%
4.2.1 (Professional collaboration)	0.5%
6.1.3 (Families are supported)	0.5%
6.1.2 (Parent views are respected)	0.4%
6.2.1 (Transitions)	0.4%
4.1.2 (Continuity of staff)	0.3%
6.1.1 (Engagement with the service)	0.3%
5.2.1 (Collaborative learning)	0.3%

# **Services rated Working Towards NQS**


A service receives an overall rating of Working Towards NQS if any of the seven quality areas are rated Working Towards NQS. A quality area is rated Working Towards NQS if any of the standards within that quality area are rated Working Towards NQS.

# Figure 15: Proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS by number of quality areas rated Working Towards NQS



### **Services rated Exceeding NQS**

Figure 16: Proportion of services rated Exceeding NQS by number of quality areas rated Exceeding NQS




# **Australian Capital Territory summary**

377	services comprising <b>372</b> centre-based services and <b>5</b> family day care services
355 (94%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
1	service rated Significant Improvement Required
50	services rated Working Towards NQS
147	services rated Meeting NQS
157	services rated Exceeding NQS

Figure 17 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

#### Figure 17: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**


Education Directorate Children's Education and Care Assurance www.education.act.gov.au/early-childhood

### **New South Wales summary**

6,053	services comprising <b>5,933</b> centre-based services and <b>120</b> family day care services
5,725 (95%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
7	services rated Significant Improvement Required
407	services rated Working Towards NQS
4,175	services rated Meeting NQS
1,126	services rated Exceeding NQS
10	services rated Excellent by ACECQA

Figure 18 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.






#### **Contact details**

Department of Education Early Childhood Education Directorate www.education.nsw.gov.au/early-childhood-education

## **Northern Territory summary**

235	services comprising 231 centre-based services and 4 family day care services
215 (91%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
2	services rated Significant Improvement Required
44	services rated Working Towards NQS
154	services rated Meeting NQS
15	services rated Exceeding NQS

Figure 19 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.



#### Figure 19: Quality area ratings

#### **Contact details**

Department of Education

Quality Education and Care NT


 $\underline{https://education.nt.gov.au/committees-regulators-and-advisory-groups/quality-education-and-care-nt}$ 

### **Queensland summary**

3,302	services comprising <b>3,205</b> centre-based services and <b>97</b> family day care services
3,098 (94%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
340	services rated Working Towards NQS
2,218	services rated Meeting NQS
532	services rated Exceeding NQS
8	services rated Excellent by ACECQA

Figure 20 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

#### Figure 20: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**


Department of Education Early Childhood Regulatory Authority www.earlychildhood.qld.gov.au

### **South Australia summary**

1,310	services comprising 1,293 centre-based services and 17 family day care services
1,252 (96%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
205	services rated Working Towards NQS
635	services rated Meeting NQS
412	services rated Exceeding NQS

Figure 21 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

#### Figure 21: Quality area ratings




#### **Contact details**

Education and Early Childhood Services Registration and Standards Board of South Australia <u>www.esb.sa.gov.au/early-childhood</u>

### **Tasmania summary**

241	services comprising 236 centre-based services and 5 family day care services
221 (92%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
38	services rated Working Towards NQS
137	services rated Meeting NQS
46	services rated Exceeding NQS

Figure 22 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.



#### Figure 22: Quality area ratings

#### **Contact details**


Department for Education, Children and Young People Education and Care Unit <u>www.educationandcare.tas.gov.au</u>

### Victoria summary

4,869	services comprising 4,731 centre-based services and 138 family day care services
4,287 (88%)	services with a <b>quality rating</b>
2	services rated Significant Improvement Required
186	services rated Working Towards NQS
2,922	services rated Meeting NQS
1,168	services rated Exceeding NQS
9	services rated Excellent by ACECQA

Figure 23 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

#### Figure 23: Quality area ratings




#### **Contact details**

Department of Education and Training Quality Assessment and Regulation Division www.education.vic.gov.au/childhood/providers/regulation

## Western Australia summary

1,455	services comprising 1,425 centre-based services and 30 family day care services
1,321 (91%)	services with a quality rating
212	services rated Working Towards NQS
1,052	services rated Meeting NQS
57	services rated Exceeding NQS

Figure 24 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.



#### Figure 24: Quality area ratings

#### **Contact details**

Department of Communities

Education and Care Regulatory Unit

 $\underline{www.wa.gov.au/organisation/department-of-communities/education-and-care-regulatory-unit}$ 



© 2025 Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority.

Copyright in this resource (including, without limitation, text, images, logos, icons, information, data, documents, pages and images) ("the material") is owned or licensed by us.

Copyright in material provided by Commonwealth, State or Territory agencies, private individuals or organisations may belong to those agencies, individuals or organisations and be licensed to us.

Subject to any contrary statement on relevant material, you may use any of the material in this resource for your personal and non-commercial use or use on behalf of your organisation for non-commercial purposes, provided that an appropriate acknowledgement is made (including by retaining this notice where the whole or any part is reproduced or used without material alteration), and the material is not subjected to derogatory treatment.

Apart from any other use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), all other rights are reserved.

Requests and enquiries concerning further authorisation should be addressed to:

The Copyright Officer, ACECQA PO Box 358, Darlinghurst, NSW 1300 or emailed to copyright@acecqa.gov.au.

Comments and suggestions regarding this publication are welcomed and should be forwarded to ACECQA.

Published by ACECQA

ABN 59 372 786 746

Level 14, 1 Oxford Street, Darlinghurst, NSW, 2010

Web: www.acecqa.gov.au

Email: info@acecqa.gov.au

Media enquiries: media@acecqa.gov.au







All children have the best start in life through quality education and care.

acecqa.gov.au/nqf/snapshots