

A quarterly report from the Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority

# **Table of contents**



Overview	3
Snapshot highlights	4
Profile of the sector	5
Progress of assessment and rating	10
Service visits	11
Draft and final reports, and reviews	12
Quality improvement	13
Overall quality ratings	14
Quality area ratings	17
Standard level ratings under the 2018 NQS	18
Element level results under the 2018 NQS	19
Services rated Working Towards NQS	20
Services rated Exceeding NQS	20
Jurisdiction summaries	21



### **Overview**

NQF Snapshot Q2 2023 is ACECQA's 42<sup>nd</sup> national report on children's education and care services operating under the National Quality Framework (NQF).

It provides analysis and information on the profile of the sector and the quality ratings of services against the National Quality Standard (NQS).


A revised version of the NQS commenced on 1 February 2018. The number of quality standards has reduced from 18 to 15, and the number of quality elements has reduced from 58 to 40.

The changes streamlined the NQS and reduced overlap between elements and standards. Analysis at the standard and element level uses quality ratings against the 2018 NQS only, while analysis at the overall and quality area level uses all current quality ratings against both the 2012 and 2018 NQS.

An **interactive online version of the Snapshot**, which includes additional analysis, is available on the ACECQA website.

The data presented in this Snapshot was taken from the National Quality Agenda IT System (NQA ITS) at 00.30 on 1 July 2023.

Due to rounding, individual percentages in the tables and figures may not add up to 100%.






# **Snapshot highlights**

17,322	children's education and care services approved to operate under the NQF
15,765 (91%)	services with a quality rating
14,049 (89%)	services with a quality rating are Meeting NQS or above
7226	providers approved to operate
5726 (79%)	providers approved to operate only one service
35	services rated <b>Excellent</b> by ACECQA <sup>1</sup>
17,691	quality rating reassessments completed
68%	of services rated Working Towards NQS improved their overall <b>quality rating at</b> reassessment
13,886 (80%)	services with a quality rating against the 2018 NQS

Figure 1: Number of approved services and number of services with a quality rating by quarter

■ Number of services with a quality rating ■ Number of approved services




<sup>1</sup> The Excellent rating is awarded for a period of three years. Unless a reapplication for the Excellent rating is received, a service's rating will revert back to Exceeding NQS after this three year period. The Snapshot includes the current number of Excellent rated services.



### **Profile of the sector**

Figure 2: Number of approved services by jurisdiction and service type



Total Centre-based (CB) <sup>1</sup> **16,874 (97%)** 

Increase on Q2 2022

Total Family day care (FDC)  $^2$  448 (3%)

-10% Decrease on Q2 2022 Total **17,322** 

2% Increase on Q2 2022

<sup>2</sup> A family day care service is an education and care service delivered through a network of educators operating from residences and other approved venues. They are sometimes known as family day care schemes and they are administered and supported by central coordination units.



<sup>1</sup> A centre-based service is an education and care service other than a family day care service. This includes most long day care, preschool and outside school hours care services that are delivered at a centre. It does not include Tasmanian preschools/kindergartens and most preschools/kindergartens in Western Australia that are outside the scope of the NQF, as well as other services that aren't regulated under the National Law.

Figure 3: Proportion of services rated Meeting NQS or above by overall rating and quality area




Figure 4: Proportion of services rated Exceeding NQS or above by overall rating and quality area






Figure 5: Proportion of services by service sub-type and jurisdiction 1,2,3,4,5




Table 1: Number of services by service sub-type and jurisdiction

	Family day care	Long day care	Preschool/ Kindergarten	Outside school hours care	Other	Total
ACT	6	179	85	103	0	373
NSW	124	3459	759	1558	0	5900
NT	4	95	75	53	1	228
QLD	106	1818	499	789	0	3212
SA	14	464	413	387	0	1278
TAS	8	136	0	93	0	237
VIC	152	1890	1216	1479	0	4737
WA	34	814	15	492	2	1357
TOTAL	448	8855	3062	4954	3	17,322

<sup>5</sup> Three services categorised as 'Other' excluded for graphical purposes.



<sup>1</sup> NQA ITS data collected on service sub-type is self-reported by providers when applying for service approval, and providers may choose multiple service sub-types. For example, a service providing both long day care and outside school hours care, or one providing both long day care and preschool/kindergarten.

<sup>2</sup> Providers are not required to notify changes to this information, and therefore this NQAITS information may not be current.

<sup>3</sup> Centre-based services offering more than one type of service are classified as follows: services which provide long day care in addition to any other service type are classified as long day care services; services which provide preschool/kindergarten as well as outside school hours care are classified as preschool/kindergarten services; services which provide outside school hours care only are classified as outside school hours care services.

<sup>4</sup> Excludes Tasmanian preschools/kindergartens and most preschools/kindergartens in Western Australia that are outside the scope of the NQF, as well as other services that aren't regulated under the National Law.

Figure 6: Proportion of services by provider management type 1,2,3




Table 2: Number and proportion of services by provider management type

Provider management type	Number of services	Proportion of services
Private for profit	9002	52%
Private not for profit community managed	3417	20%
Private not for profit other organisations	2312	13%
State/Territory and Local Government managed	1185	7%
State/Territory government schools	682	4%
Independent schools	495	3%
Catholic schools	214	1%
Not stated/Other	15	0%
Total	17,322	100%

 $<sup>{\</sup>bf 3}$  15 services categorised as 'Not stated/Other' excluded for graphical purposes.



<sup>1</sup> NQA ITS data collected on provider management type is self-reported by providers when applying for provider approval. Providers are not required to notify changes to this information, and therefore this NQA ITS information may not be current.

<sup>2</sup> Provider management type classifications are available at Australian Bureau of Statistics (2013)

National Early Childhood Education and Care Collection: Data Collection Guide, 2013 (Cat. No. 4240.0.55.002).

Figure 7 shows that 79% of approved providers operate a single service while 1% of approved providers operate 25 or more services.

Figure 7: Proportion of approved providers by size





Figure 8 shows that 33% of approved services are operated by small approved providers while 35% of approved services are operated by large approved providers.

Figure 8: Proportion of approved services by provider size





# **Progress of assessment and rating**

Table 3 includes all approved services. The proportion of services with a quality rating will not reach 100% at any one time because a small proportion of services will only recently have been approved and may not have started operating or may have only been operating for a short period of time. In general, state and territory regulatory authorities will not assess and rate newly approved services which have been operating for less than 9-12 months.

Table 3: Quality ratings by jurisdiction

	Number of services	Proportion of all services	Number of services with a quality rating	Proportion of services with a quality rating
ACT	373	2%	349	94%
NSW	5900	34%	5542	94%
NT	228	1%	214	94%
QLD	3212	19%	3008	94%
SA	1278	7%	1217	95%
TAS	237	1%	215	91%
VIC	4737	27%	4104	87%
WA	1357	8%	1116	82%
TOTAL	17,322	100%	15,765	91%

Table 4: Number of services with a quality rating by service sub-type and jurisdiction

	Family day care	Long day care	Preschool/ Kindergarten	Outside school hours care	Other	Total
ACT	6	168	81	94	0	349
NSW	121	3298	743	1380	0	5542
NT	3	87	73	50	1	214
QLD	90	1711	463	744	0	3008
SA	12	430	405	370	0	1217
TAS	7	128	0	80	0	215
VIC	118	1670	1138	1178	0	4104
WA	30	681	13	391	1	1116
TOTAL	387	8173	2916	4287	2	15,765



### **Service visits**

Figure 9 shows the total number of quality assessment and rating visits compared to all other visits undertaken by state and territory regulatory authorities each quarter. Other types of visits include checking and monitoring compliance with the requirements of the NQF, investigating complaints and responding to events such as serious incidents or changes of service ownership, as well as visits for educative purposes.

While the ratio of assessment and rating visits to all other visits has fluctuated over time, state and territory regulatory authorities have undertaken more than three times as many other types of visit than assessment and rating visits since 2017, emphasising the significant amount of regulatory work that occurs outside of quality assessment and rating.

On 2 April 2020, in recognition of the COVID-19 global pandemic, Education Ministers announced four critical areas for time-limited regulatory action, including the suspension of assessment and ratings. This resulted in the stark decline in assessment and rating visits in Q2 2020.

Figure 9: Number of service visits (quality assessment and rating visits compared to all other visits)







### **Draft and final reports, and reviews**

Table 5 shows the proportion of overall quality ratings that change between the draft and final assessment and rating report.

As part of the comprehensive assessment and rating process, service providers are issued a draft report by state and territory regulatory authorities, which includes the proposed quality ratings. Service providers are given the opportunity to provide any required feedback or clarification prior to the final report and quality ratings being issued.

More than 93% of all overall quality ratings remain unchanged between the draft and final assessment and rating reports.

Table 5: Proportion of overall quality ratings that change between the draft and final assessment and rating report


Rating level	Change in rating	%
Higher	937	6.0%
Unchanged	14,791	94.0%
Lower	2	0.0%
Total	15,730	100%

Figure 10 shows the proportion of quality assessment and ratings that result in a first or second tier review.

As part of the comprehensive assessment and rating process, service providers may request a (first tier) review by the relevant state and territory regulatory authority following the final report and quality ratings being issued. They may also subsequently request a (second tier) review by an expert panel convened by ACECQA.

While the proportion of assessment and ratings that result in a first or second tier review is very low, it has increased in recent years. This may reflect a number of factors, including changes introduced with the 2018 NQS which made it more challenging for a service to achieve an overall rating of Exceeding NQS. In addition, most services have now been quality assessed and rated more than once, with a lower subsequent rating more likely to be challenged by a service provider.

Figure 10: Proportion of quality assessment and ratings that result in a first or second tier review





# **Quality improvement**

Table 6 presents a service's previous overall rating alongside its reassessed overall rating. For example, 4012 services previously rated Working Towards NQS improved their rating to Meeting NQS after reassessment. While 2207 services previously rated Working Towards NQS received the same rating again after reassessment.

Table 6: Reassessments by overall quality rating<sup>1</sup>

	Rating after reassessment						
		Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Total	
essment	Significant Improvement Required	20	80	17	0	117	
easses	Working Towards NQS	52	2207	4012	792	7063	
before re	Meeting NQS	6	1031	4350	944	6331	
Rating be	Exceeding NQS	2	395	1800	1983	4180	
Rat	Total	80	3713	10,179	3719	17,691	

Rating after reassessment							
		Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Improvement rate	
ssessment	Significant Improvement Required	17%	68%	15%	0%	83%	
rea	Working Towards NQS	1%	31%	57%	11%	68%	
g before	Meeting NQS	0%	16%	69%	15%	15%	
Rating	Exceeding NQS	0%	9%	43%	47%	-	

<sup>1</sup> Reassessments include the following processes described in the National Law: i. Partial reassessment and re-rating requested by provider; ii. Partial reassessment and re-rating instigated by the regulatory authority; iii. Full reassessment and re-rating requested by provider; iv. Full reassessment and re-rating instigated by the regulatory authority.



# **Overall quality ratings**


Authorised officers from state and territory regulatory authorities assess and rate services using the seven quality areas, 15 standards and 40 elements that make up the NQS. Services are given a rating for each of the seven quality areas and an overall rating.

1	Educational program and practice	Significant Improvement	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS	Excellent
2	Children's health and safety	Required Service does not	ce does not Service provides	Service	Service goes	Exceeding rated services
3	Physical environment	meet one of the seven quality areas or a section of the legislation and there is a significant	a safe education and care program, but	provides quality education and care in all seven	beyond the requirements of the National Quality	that promote exceptional education and care, demonstrate sector
4	Staffing arrangements		there are one or more areas identified for improvement.	quality areas.	Standard in at least four of the seven quality areas.	leadership, and are committed to continually improving.
5	Relationships with children	risk to the safety, health and wellbeing of				This rating can only be awarded by ACECQA.
6	Collaborative partnerships with families and communities	children.  The regulatory	rated	RATED	RATED	Rated EXCELLENT
7	Governance and leadership	authority will take immediate action.	WORKING TOWARDS  NATIONAL QUALITY STANDARD	MEETING A NATIONAL QUALITY STANDARD	EXCEEDING A	by ACECQA

Table 7: Overall quality ratings by jurisdiction

	Significant Improvement Required		king ds NQS	Mee <sup>.</sup> NÇ			eding QS	Excellent	Total
ACT	0	67	19%	130	37%	150	43%	2	349
NSW	1	556	10%	3857	70%	1113	20%	15	5542
NT	0	35	16%	155	72%	24	11%	0	214
QLD	0	294	10%	2011	67%	696	23%	7	3008
SA	0	195	16%	529	43%	490	40%	3	1217
TAS	0	39	18%	128	60%	48	22%	0	215
VIC	0	321	8%	2678	65%	1097	27%	8	4104
WA	0	208	19%	766	69%	142	13%	0	1116
TOTAL	1	1715	11%	10,254	65%	3760	24%	35	15,765

Figure 11: Overall quality ratings by service type






Figure 12: Overall quality ratings by centre-based service sub-type







Figure 13 shows the variation in spread of quality ratings between provider management types.

These differences can reflect a range of contextual factors, such as the service profile of these provider types. For example, half of all 'State/Territory and Local Government managed' services are preschools/kindergartens, with preschools/kindergartens performing better against the NQS than other service types (see Figure 12). In contrast, preschools/kindergartens make up only 1% of 'Private for profit' services.

Figure 13: Overall quality ratings by provider management type<sup>1</sup>

Catholic schools

Total



63%

65%

13%

11%



 ${\bf 1}\ 15\ {\sf providers}\ {\sf categorised}\ {\sf as}\ {\sf 'Not}\ {\sf stated/Other'}\ {\sf excluded}\ {\sf for}\ {\sf graphical}\ {\sf purposes}.$ 



23%

24%


# **Quality area ratings**

Table 8 and Figure 14 rank the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the number and proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Table 8: Quality area ratings

	Significant Improvement Required	Working Towards NQS	Meeting NQS	Exceeding NQS
Quality Area 1 - Educational program and practice	0	1202	10,859	3704
Quality Area 7 - Governance and leadership	1	1170	11,388	3206
Quality Area 2 - Children's health and safety	1	1034	12,240	2490
Quality Area 3 - Physical environment	0	529	12,264	2972
Quality Area 4 - Staffing arrangements	1	459	11,949	3356
Quality Area 5 - Relationships with children	0	364	11,428	3973
Quality Area 6 - Collaborative partnerships with families and communities	0	340	10,879	4546

Figure 14: Quality area ratings






# Standard level ratings under the 2018 NQS

Figure 15 ranks the 15 standards of the 2018 NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each standard.

Figure 15: Standard level ratings under the 2018 NQS







# **Element level results under the 2018 NQS**

Table 9 ranks the 40 elements of the 2018 NQS in descending order, based on the proportion of services that do not meet each element.

Table 9: Element level results under 2018 NQS


2018 NQS Element	% Not Met	2018 NQS Element	% Not Met
1.3.1 (Assessment and planning cycle)	6.0%	3.2.3 (Environmentally responsible)	1.5%
1.3.2 (Critical reflection)	5.9%	3.1.2 (Upkeep)	1.5%
7.1.2 (Management systems)	4.9%	5.2.2 (Self-regulation)	1.5%
2.1.2 (Health practices and procedures)	4.1%	6.2.3 (Community engagement)	1.4%
7.2.2 (Educational leadership)	4.1%	2.1.3 (Healthy lifestyle)	1.2%
2.2.1 (Supervision)	3.9%	3.2.1 (Inclusive environment)	1.2%
7.2.3 (Development of professionals)	3.8%	5.1.1 (Positive educator to child interactions)	1.2%
1.1.3 (Program learning opportunities)	3.2%	3.2.2 (Resources support play-based learning)	1.1%
2.2.2 (Incident and emergency management)	2.8%	2.2.3 (Child protection)	1.1%
4.1.1 (Organisation of educators)	2.5%	4.2.2 (Professional standards)	1.1%
1.1.1 (Approved learning framework)	2.2%	6.2.2 (Access and participation)	1.0%
7.2.1 (Continuous improvement)	2.1%	2.1.1 (Wellbeing and comfort)	0.9%
1.2.3 (Child directed learning)	2.0%	4.2.1 (Professional collaboration)	0.7%
1.1.2 (Child-centred)	1.9%	6.1.3 (Families are supported)	0.7%
1.3.3 (Information for families)	1.8%	3.1.1 (Fit for purpose)	0.7%
1.2.2 (Responsive teaching and scaffolding)	1.8%	6.1.2 (Parent views are respected)	0.6%
5.1.2 (Dignity and rights of the child)	1.7%	6.2.1 (Transitions)	0.5%
7.1.1 (Service philosophy and purpose)	1.5%	6.1.1 (Engagement with the service)	0.5%
7.1.3 (Roles and responsibilities)	1.5%	4.1.2 (Continuity of staff)	0.4%
1.2.1 (Intentional teaching)	1.5%	5.2.1 (Collaborative learning)	0.3%



### **Services rated Working Towards NQS**


A service receives an overall rating of Working Towards NQS if any of the seven quality areas are rated Working Towards NQS. A quality area is rated Working Towards NQS if any of the standards within that quality area are rated Working Towards NQS.

Figure 16: Proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS by number of quality areas rated Working Towards NQS



### **Services rated Exceeding NQS**

Figure 17: Proportion of services rated Exceeding NQS by number of quality areas rated Exceeding NQS






# **Australian Capital Territory summary**



Figure 18 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 18: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Education Directorate Children's Education and Care Assurance www.education.act.gov.au/early-childhood




# **New South Wales summary**



Figure 19 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 19: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department of Education Early Childhood Education Directorate <a href="https://www.education.nsw.gov.au/early-childhood-education">www.education.nsw.gov.au/early-childhood-education</a>




# **Northern Territory summary**



Figure 20 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 20: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department of Education Quality Education and Care NT www.nt.gov.au/learning/early-childhood




# **Queensland summary**



Figure 21 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 21: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department of Education Early Childhood Regulatory Authority www.earlychildhood.qld.gov.au




## **South Australia summary**



Figure 22 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 22: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Education and Early Childhood Services Registration and Standards Board of South Australia

www.esb.sa.gov.au/early-childhood




# **Tasmania summary**



Figure 23 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 23: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department for Education, Children and Young People Education and Care Unit <a href="https://www.educationandcare.tas.gov.au">www.educationandcare.tas.gov.au</a>




### **Victoria summary**



Figure 24 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 24: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department of Education and Training Quality Assessment and Regulation Division www.education.vic.gov.au/childhood/providers/regulation




## **Western Australia summary**



Figure 25 ranks the seven quality areas of the NQS in descending order based on the proportion of services rated Working Towards NQS in each quality area.

Figure 25: Quality area ratings



#### **Contact details**

Department of Communities Education and Care Regulatory Unit

www.wa.gov.au/organisation/department-of-communities/education-and-care-regulatory-unit





© 2023 Australian Children's Education and Care Quality Authority.

Copyright in this resource (including, without limitation, text, images, logos, icons, information, data, documents, pages and images) ("the material") is owned or licensed by us.

Copyright in material provided by Commonwealth, State or Territory agencies, private individuals or organisations may belong to those agencies, individuals or organisations and be licensed to us.

Subject to any contrary statement on relevant material, you may use any of the material in this resource for your personal and non-commercial use or use on behalf of your organisation for non-commercial purposes, provided that an appropriate acknowledgement is made (including by retaining this notice where the whole or any part is reproduced or used without material alteration), and the material is not subjected to derogatory treatment.

Apart from any other use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968 (Cth), all other rights are reserved.

Requests and enquiries concerning further authorisation should be addressed to:

The Copyright Officer, ACECQA PO Box 358, Darlinghurst, NSW 1300 or emailed to <a href="mailto:copyright@acecqa.gov.au">copyright@acecqa.gov.au</a>.

Comments and suggestions regarding this publication are welcomed and should be forwarded to ACECQA.

Published by ACECQA

ABN 59 372 786 746

Level 14, 1 Oxford Street, Darlinghurst, NSW, 2010

Web: www.acecqa.gov.au

Email: info@acecqa.gov.au

Media enquiries: media@acecqa.gov.au





